

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/555,662		08/25/2000	Hartmut Hillmer	2345/117	9226	
26646	7590	08/12/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KENYON		ON	NGUYEN, DUNG T			
ONE BRO NEW YOR		0004		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2828		
				DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				Un			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/555,662	HILLMER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dung (Michael) T Nguyen	2828				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	idress			
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replect of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from y, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c CO (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	7						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	lay 2004.					
,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	s action is non-final.					
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>18-36</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>18-36</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or or other permissions.	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 C	• •			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmer	• •	_					
2) Notion Notion Notion Notion	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/555,662

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bischel et al. (US5544268).

Regarding Claim 18 and 28, Bischel et al. disclose in Fig.34 a device 900 for the wavelength tuning of an optoelectronic component array having at least two optoelectronic components, the device comprising: a respective at least one resistance heater 920 (col.3, l.35-36) associated with each of the at least two optoelectronic components for setting a respective characteristic wavelength of the respective optoelectronic component; a common voltage or current source 926; and a respective heater arrangement 928 (col.17, l.30-43) connected between each respective at least one heater and the common voltage or current source 926. Bischel et al. do not disclose the "total resistance of each respective resistor arrangement being variable so as to allow for wavelength tuning." However, it

Page 3

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time of the invention to modify Bischel et al. to include variable resistance arrangements to vary the total resistance for tuning the wavelength, since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the ad. In re Stevens, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

Regarding Claims 19-27 and 29-36, the particular claimed features are a matter of design choice since they do not solve any particular stated problem and the claimed invention will work with alternative/equivalent features known to one of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, these claimed would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax

Application/Control Number: 09/555,662 Page 4

Art Unit: 2828

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Michael Dung Nguyen

MINSUN OH HARVEY PRIMARY EXAMINER